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1. [30 pts., 1 page limit]

Several definitions of software “quality” have been discussed in class. Answer the following questions about software quality:

1. Why is there no “universal,” generally agreed-on, list of quality attributes?

I believe there is no universal list of quality attributes because of how each author or architect has their own point of view of what quality means and what the product should provide to its users. Some believe that a quality product should only care about its substantial benefit to its end users while sacrificing reliability or performance while others believe that performance and the user’s benefits should go together side by side. In addition, I believe the purpose or scope of a project plays an important part in defining that list of attributes. For example, a list of attributes will not be the same for a public customers’ app like Doordash (where customer satisfaction is the main priority) as a company’s internal app like microservices apps (where performance is the main priority).

1. Why is there no single, generally accepted measure of software quality?

I believe there is no single accepted measure of software quality because they are usually based on assumptions which are not standardized and may depend upon tools available and working environment which might be different from one project/organization to another. In addition, most of the software quality measures rely on estimates of certain variables which are often not known precisely. Lastly, projects/organizations usually have different ideas, service, and goals that would lead to a difference in the software quality measures used for each project. For example, measuring reliability or the mean downtime of a simple app like personal notes is redundant work and useless because there is nothing bad could happen if that app was down, while measuring that same attribute for an air traffic system is mandatory because people’s lives are dependent on such system’s reliability that makes its downtime unacceptable.

1. Why are “indicators” used instead of measuring quality attributes directly?

I believe “indicators” are used instead of measuring quality attributes directly because quality is a concept that varies from one person to another which makes it too vague to measure directly. In addition, quality is intangible and multi-focus, so we use indicators to give us a more meaningful measurement instead of measuring it directly by unpacking its attributes and making each one of them observable. Furthermore, indicators come after a thorough analysis of both the product/process (like programs and documentation) and the attribute itself (like maintainability) so its relationship to such attribute is undeniable and based on true evidence which makes it more accurate. Lastly, indicators can be based on multiple factors to provide a more effective and reliable indicator which accordingly allows the measurement of attributes that usually can’t be measured. For example, if we want to measure the testability attribute of a product, indicators allow us to measure that attribute by basing our indicator on both the number of test cases and the length of time that each test case takes to complete.

1. The papers we have read describe software architecture and a number of architectural styles. Using “software architecture” as an explicit part of a system development effort and choosing a specific architectural style in guiding your system design choices provides both advantages and disadvantages.
2. [10 pts., 1 page limit]

Identify the **most significant advantages** conveyed by choosing one well-understood architectural style for your software design. Justify your answer.

I believe choosing one well-understood architectural style for your software design enhances understandability and readability of the software in the sense that the architecture itself is a standard for writing code and is a requirement for developers how to write and where, so the software code becomes of the same type which simplifies further product support. As a result, when a new developer joins a development team or takes on an existing project, they will be able to navigate the project structure and start developing much more quickly and with more confidence that new features can be added without any issues.

In addition, it also enhances the software maintainability because when new features need to be added, it is very clear which part of the architecture need to be modified and developers can work together on different layers of the architecture at the same time. For example, if we have an online shopping website where it uses the client-server architecture and we need to add a product review feature, some developers can work on the client-side of it developing and styling the review rating elements while other developers can work on the server-side developing the database connection between the review database tables and the backend code which leads to a faster and more effective development process.

Moreover, with a well-understood architecture in place, it is easier to divide the software system into smaller and more manageable modules which helps in introducing microservices architecture when scaling up operations which also improves the reusability of the system.

Furthermore, if the chosen architecture is well understood and detailed during the development phase, it will significantly reduce the chances of logical errors in the software code which accordingly reduces the possibility that the code does not work as expected considering that requirements and functionality of the project have not changed in the development process.

Lastly, having a well-understood architectural style for your software design helps in preventing schedule and budget outruns by discussing and keeping high-impact factors into consideration that can affect the development, deployment, and maintenance cycle. Additionally, choosing an architecture requires a thorough analysis of the software behavior before it is actually built which allows the development team to provide stakeholders with the expected outcome of their requirements which results in mitigating risks and saving costs that could go in building or changing parts of the solution.

That is, a well-understood architecture helps in maintaining the quality attributes of the software throughout its lifetime and makes it profitable in the long term because of how it becomes easier to scale and evolve which leads to saving developers’ time while also serving the customers’ changing requirements.

[10 pts., 1 page limit]

At the same time, Shaw and Garlan acknowledge that most large systems do not cleanly follow a single architectural style, and instead use different styles in places or use hybrid styles. Explain why complex applications seem to defy the use of just one architectural style. Justify your answer, and also reconcile it against the advantages provided by using a single style that you described in part (a).

I believe complex applications usually use more than one architectural style because of the emergent behaviors of those applications that occur as a result of the interactions, dependencies, and relationships that form when that system’s individual components are placed together, which requires multiple architectural styles to merge together to cope with those behaviors emergence and take the best out of each architecture that matches with the characteristics of that system’s components to get the maximum benefits of each architecture and limit the effects of each system architecture’s risks.

For example, if we have a complex system like an online file approval system like DocuSign where the system relies heavily on event-based scenarios (like if a document is completed, send an email to the approver), in my opinion, the most suitable architecture would be using the following three architectures: Event-Driven, Shared Memory, and Pipe-and-Filter architectures, where Event-Driven enables asynchronous events to trigger and communicate between decoupled services, Shared Memory enables multiple users to exchange information by writing to and reading from a pools of shared memory, and Pipe-and-Filter enables having independent components that perform transformations on data and process the input they receive where pipes serve as connectors for the stream of data being transformed. As a result, using those different styles together will lead to high maintainability and modularity (via Pipes-and-Filter), reliability and usability (via Event-Driven asynchronous events), and security (Shared Memory’s user management system on a shared database). That is, complex systems consist of many different components and functionalities that require using different architectural styles to achieve those set of functionalities, connect between those components, or limit the risks caused by one of the architectures.

However, the idea of representing systems as different architectures might be a slight downside for the understandability and readability attribute of the software by making it slower to understand the code of a specific component/feature because of how it could be linked to another component in a different architecture, so a well-detailed documentation must be written to avoid that. In addition, this also badly affects the maintainability because then fixes will not only have to be applied to a single component but also to all affected components in other architectures that rely on that component which takes makes maintenance longer unless a well isolated components were implemented. Furthermore, using more than one architecture makes it harder to reuse that system’s components because of how they might be connected to each other that it will be hard to decouple them and reuse in other systems unless the other system to be reused in has identical requirements as the source system (like air vs marine traffic control systems). For logical errors, I believe using multiple architectures has no significant effect on their occurrence if they are as well written and detailed as if a single architecture was used. Similarly, I do not believe using multiple architectures has significant effect on schedule and budget outruns if they are thoroughly analyzed and all high-impact factors were taken into consideration.

1. A couple of the group design projects this semester used some version of a client-server architecture as the top-level organizational feature of the design. In *Just Enough Software Architecture*, this style is covered in Section 14.12: “Client-server Style and N-tier”. From the perspective of the material about design and assessment presented in this class, answer the following questions about client-server architectures:
2. [10 pts., 1 page limit] From McCall’s list of software attributes defining quality, identify the five attributes you believe **most benefit** from using a client-server architecture. For each of the five, briefly describe **how** such an architecture improves the attribute.

I believe the five McCall’s attributes that most benefit from using a client-server architecture are: integrity, maintainability, flexibility, reusability, and portability. I believe the architecture greatly affects and improves integrity by adequately securing data because of the centralized design that only allows authorized users to access the data within login and password as well as two-factor authentication. In addition, if the data is lost, the records can be recoverable quickly with one backup.

Moreover, I also believe the architecture improves maintainability because of how it isolates the client components from the server ones so you will only have to worry about maintaining the faulted server/client components without affecting other client/server components. The centralized design of backup, security and antivirus also makes it easier to setup and troubleshoot because of data backup and all other concerned elements are tackled centrally where everything takes place at one physical server. Besides, fewer support staff are needed to manage centralized security accounts than that would be needed if security and resource access had to be configured on each individual computer on the network.

In addition, I think isolation between client and server components hugely supports the flexibility attribute by allowing making changes to client components without affecting other server components or dependencies and vice versa. Besides, the organization of the system as 2 different layers (client and server layers) makes it easy to understand and know what changes need to be made to what layer, which makes the modification process even faster.

Furthermore, I also believe that the client-server isolation allows the system’s components to be easily reused either within the same system or in a different system by splitting that system into multiple layers and components. For example, HTML, CSS, and JavaScript client files developed for a payment checkout feature for an online shopping system that is used for a cart’s payment checkout feature can easily be reused in either the same system for a membership subscription’s payment checkout feature or a completely different system like online learning system’s membership payment checkout feature.

Lastly, I believe that the client-server architecture improves the portability attribute because of how the client can be ported and still use the same server. Besides, clients also have the facility to share any resources at various platforms and places in addition to enabling the server to be replaced, restored, upgraded, and moved without influencing the client.

1. [5 pts., ½ page limit] Identify the **critical features** of a design problem that make it a **good match** for a client-server solution, and **explain why**.
2. [5 pts., ½ page limit] Briefly describe a problem scenario where a client-server architecture would be a **poor choice** and identify **which aspects of the problem** are important in making this judgment.
3. [15 pts., ½ page limit]

One approach to measuring software quality was discussed in Lesson 10: the OPA Framework. In this framework, software quality indicators (SQI’s) are measured. In describing the OPA Framework, Dr. Nance mentioned that scores for SQIs ranged from –5 to +5, and that there was little absolute meaning to this scale. Instead, one must have a history of applying the SQIs to several projects (or many times to one project) so that SQI scores can be compared against each other.

Suppose your organization wishes to adopt an OPA approach to software quality measurement, and they will begin with a project on which you are currently working. If this is the case, the first time SQI scores are calculated for your project, you will have no historical data to compare against, either from this project or from other projects. Explain how this first set of SQI scores can still be used to point out problems with the quality of your current project.

Although no historical data to compare against exists, I believe this first set of SQI score can still be used to give us a rough measurement of quality attributes and point out problems within the project’s individual components themselves. For example, if I give the readability quality of a well written and documented frontend part a score of +4, that means I can give a score -4 to a poorly written and documented backend part because then I have an idea of what a “+4” part should look like.

In addition, we can use those scores to compare between the software quality attributes in a more meaningful and reasonable way instead of just directly measuring them. For example, if I gave the efficiency quality of the project a score of +5 and portability quality a score of +2, it would indicate that the software is perfectly efficient and needs no more efficiency enhancements, while the portability quality is fairly good but still needs some improvement to be considered “perfect”. That is, those scores give the current project a more meaningful and reasonable way to evaluate and compare between its own qualities than just saying this software is efficient or portable.

Lastly, this first set of SQI scores can also be used as the base to be compared to for the next phase of the current project to measure the enhancements happened during such phase. For example, if a fair reliability quality has a score of +1 in this first set of scores and the next phase hugely enhanced it to be great, then we can give it +4 as the new score, since we already know that +1 is considered fair, so the new score has be way higher than that, hence it’s +4.

1. Review the Chef-It! design project (<https://nyteknight.github.io/cs5744P1/>).
2. [10 pts., ½ page limit]

Identify and describe the most significant weakness(es) you see in this design.

1. [5 pts., ½ page limit]

Is this design likely to lead to a high-quality implementation? Justify your answer.
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